

## OVING PAVILLION

### LOCATION AND DESIGN GROUP MEETING NO 15

6<sup>th</sup> May 2009

#### ACTION NOTE

**Present:** Jack Peeters (chairman), Kit Couper, Elaine Conroy, Stuart Taylor, Paul Waldron, Julian Bamford, Frank Bennet.

The group met to consider the plans presented to us by the Architect on building design location and cost. There were many comments (including written comments from Philip who was on holiday) and different viewpoints expressed by members of the group about the interpretation of the brief.

#### **Building Design**

One change that seemed to gain approval was the reduction in the height of the building by the use of a lower roof pitch.

It was decided that Jack would ask the architect to address the following issues on which there were concerns and particularly to comment on the cost and structural implications of each:

- \*The re-instatement of a triangular pediment feature on the front elevation
- \*The use of brick rather than timber on the exterior
- \*Traditional brick and block construction rather than timber frame
- \*A canopy at each end rather than at one end only
- \*Solid, robust internal walls
- \*A toilet in the referees room, a shower in the disabled toilet and a storage cupboard in the changing rooms
- \*Removal of a curved feature between the front doors
- \*Reverting to traditional hip roof rather than the small gable upstands shown on the plan
- \*Traditional internal ceilings over the changing rooms with a vaulted ceiling only above the meeting room.

#### **Location**

The architect illustrated three locations. It had earlier been agreed however to present two to the village: the playground site and the site in front of the existing pavilion. If the site of the existing playground were chosen there would be costs involved in moving the equipment. On the other hand the equipment and surfacing have a limited life and will need replacing in stages over the next five or so years.

The cost of replacement with modern equipment could be as much as £25,000. The village will need to face this sooner or later. The tennis club have offered £10,000 towards replacement equipment if the building is sited on the present playground area. It is important for the playground not to be masked by the building and an adjustment to the plan would be necessary.

The group felt the site in front of the present pavilion was incorrectly shown and that the cost difference between the access pathways to the two sites has been exaggerated. The specification for both access paths should be the same.

An idea to create a new access to Bowling Alley was thought likely to cause more complications so would not be pursued.

Jack was asked to speak to the architect about these matters and also to produce the first draft of a consultation document for the village, setting out the pros and cons of the two possible locations. The group would then give their comments on the draft before it is passed to the Parish Council.

**Next Meeting:** to be arranged.